

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,498	07/24/2001	Rana Dutta	770P009584	8232	
2512	7590 12/14/2004		EXAMINER		
PERMAN & GREEN			WINTER, JOHN M		
425 POST RO FAIRFIELD,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			3621		
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/14/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	a l	
	09/830,498		DUTTA ET AL.	1100	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	John M Winter		3621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the d	orrespondence add	ress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to becon	nay a reply be tir of thirty (30) day) MONTHS from me ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.	
Status					•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 No.	ovember 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•			nerits is	
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-25 and 27-53 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 42-53 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 - 5,7,9,15-25, 27, 29, and 35-41 is 7) Claim(s) 8,10-14,28 and 30-34 is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration /are rejected. to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) dbjecte	d to by the I	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. Se	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	armior. Note the atta	oned omoc	Action of format to	7-102.	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received ity documents have b (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Applicati een receive	on No ed in this National S	tage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies	not receive	;d.		
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper 5) D Notice			52)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other		A - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -		

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5, 7-25 and 27-41 remain pending Claims 42-53 has been appended. Claims 6,26 and 41 have been canceled.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

The Applicants arguments filed on August 4, 2004 have been fully considered.

The amended claims a rejected in view of the newly discovered reference Cai (US Patent 5,995,985).

See following rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 5,7,9,15-25, 27, 29, and 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kara, (WO 97/14117) in view of Berson (US Patent No 5,768,384) and further in view of Cai (US Patent 5,995,985).

As per claim 1,

Kara ('117) discloses a method for shipping/mailing articles comprising the steps of: producing the shipping/mailing data for generating a shipper's label.(Figure 16b; also page 34, lines 5-25)

Kara ('117) does not explicitly disclose generating shipping/mailing data for one of the articles at one of a plurality of client terminals which are linked to a host terminal, wherein generating comprises information transfer between the client terminal and the host terminal. Berson ('384) discloses generating shipping/mailing data for one of the articles at one of a plurality of client terminals which are linked to a host terminal, (Abstract) wherein generating comprises information transfer between the client terminal and the host terminal. (Figure 3) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Kara method with the Berson in order to reduce the cost of operation by utilizing a network that can be centrally managed.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,498

Art Unit: 3621

Kara ('117) does not explicitly disclose referring to an electronic address book. Cai ('384) discloses referring to an electronic address book. (Figures 2,3A) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Kara method with the Cai in order to reduce expense by automating the lreduce the cost of operation by utilizing a network that can be centrally managed.

Page 3

Claim 21 is in parallel with claim 1 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 2,

Kara ('117) discloses the method of claim 1,

wherein producing comprises printing the shipping/mailing data.(Figure 16b)

Claim 22 is in parallel with claim 2 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 3,

Kara ('117) discloses the method of claim 1,

wherein producing comprises encoding the shipping/mailing data in a 3 dimensional bar code.(Figure 3)

Claim 23 is in parallel with claim 3 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 4,

Kara ('117) discloses the method of claim 1,

Kara ('117) does not explicitly disclose encrypting the data. Berson ('384) discloses encrypting the data. (Figure 3) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Kara method with the Berson in order to increase security of the system.

Claim 24 is in parallel with claim 4 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 5,

Kara ('117) discloses the method of claim 1,

Kara ('117) does not explicitly disclose shuffling the data. Berson ('384) discloses shuffling the data. (Figure 3) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Kara method with the Berson in order to increase security of the system.

Claim 25 is in parallel with claim 5 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 7,

Kara ('117) discloses the method of claim 1,

generating the shipping/mailing data comprises pasting from a clipboard.

Official Notice is taken that "generating the shipping/mailing data comprises pasting from a clipboard" is common and well known in prior art in reference to computer programs. It

Application/Control Number: 09/830,498

Art Unit: 3621

٠, ١

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to generate the shipping/mailing data comprises pasting from a clipboard in order to accurately transfer data.

Page 4

Claim 27 is in parallel with claim 7 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 9,

Kara ('117) discloses the method of claim 1,

wherein generating the shipping/mailing data comprises selecting a class of 3 service. (Figure 13)

Claim 29 is in parallel with claim 9 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 15,

Kara ('117) discloses the method of claim 1,

Official Notice is taken that "an application for servicing the client terminals keeps running even in the absence of a request from a client terminal" is common and well known in prior art in reference to computer programs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that an application for servicing the client terminals would keep running even in the absence of a request from a client terminal in order to reserve computer resources for the application.

Claim 35 is in parallel with claim 15 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 16

Kara (117) discloses the method of claim 1,

Official Notice is taken that "the host terminal has a launcher application for keeping the application running" is common and well known in prior art in reference to computer programs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the host terminal has a launcher application for keeping the application running in order to prevent the operating system from faulting.

Claim 36 is in parallel with claim 16 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 17

Kara ('117) discloses the method of claim 1,

further comprising the host terminal referring to a hardware key for enforcing 3 license compliance. (Figure 7b)

Claim 37 is in parallel with claim 17 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 18

Kara ('117) discloses the method of claim 17,

Application/Control Number: 09/830,498

Art Unit: 3621

س الأن غوما

Official Notice is taken that "compliance with a maximum number of client terminals being serviced by the host terminal" is common and well known in prior art in reference to computer programs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that compliance with a maximum number of client terminals being serviced by the host terminal in order to prevent the server from being overloaded.

Claim 38 is in parallel with claim 18 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 19

Kara ('117) discloses the method of claim 17,

Official Notice is taken that "the host terminal making callbacks to the client terminal" is common and well known in prior art in reference to computer programs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the host terminal would make callbacks in order to prevent all of the available slots from being locked by dead terminals.

Claim 39 is in parallel with claim 19 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 20

Kara ('117) discloses the method of claim 17,

Official Notice is taken that "the host terminal allowing a different terminal to fill in for a disconnected terminal" is common and well known in prior art in reference to computer programs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the host terminal allowing a different terminal to fill in for a disconnected terminal in order to prevent all of the available slots from being locked by dead terminals.

Claim 40 is in parallel with claim 20 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 41,

Kara ('117) discloses a method for shipping/mailing articles comprising the steps of: Printing shipping and delivery information on a label at least a portion of the information being encoded.(Figure 3)

Generating a label suitable for the carrier based on the scanned shipping and delivery information .(Figure 16b; also page 34, lines 5-25)

Scanning the encoded shipping and delivery information at a carrier site identified in the shipping and delivery information. (Figure 16b)

Kara ('117) does not explicitly disclose entering shipping and delivery information at a client location. Berson ('384) discloses entering shipping and delivery information at a client location, (Abstract). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Kara method with the Berson in order to reduce the cost of operation by utilizing a network that can be centrally managed.

Art Unit: 3621

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 42-53 are allowable ove the prior art record.

Claims 8, 10-14, 28 and 30-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P Trammell can be reached on (703)305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JMW December 1, 2004

TECKNOLOGY C